arXiv:0812.0595v1 [cond-mat.other] 2 Dec 2008

Thermal Hall Effect in 2D model for paramagnetic dielectrics

L.A.Maksimov, T.V.Khabarova

Kurchatov Institute, Moscow 123182, Russia

Abstract

Phonon polarization in a magnetic field is analyzed in 2D model. It is shown, that at presence of spin-phonon interaction phonon possess elliptic polarization which causes the appearance of heat flux component perpendicular both to temperature gradient and magnetic field.

PACS: 66.70.+f, 72.15.Gd, 72.20.Pa

Recently a magnetic field dependence of heat conductivity in dielectric crystal $Tb_3Ga_5O_{12}$ has been experimentally established [1], [2]. It is so called Phonon Hall Effect (PHE) - a temperature gradient the has been measured in a direction, perpendicular both to a heat flow and magnetic field. This phenomenon is caused by spin-phonon interaction (SPI) of phonons and paramagnetic ions. The theory of this phenomenon was considered in works [3], [4]. We can expect similar effect to be detected in dielectrics where molecules has rotary degrees of freedom. This effect is known for a long time in gases as Senftleben-Beenakker effect [5]. Generalization of this phenomenon on molecular crystals is considered in work [6]. The theory of Hall effect in ionic and molecular dielectrics for three-dimensional case is rather cumbersome. Therefore it is interesting to consider the theory in two-dimensional model. Probably, such theory can be applied to some experiments on quasi-two-dimensional crystals (films or surfaces of three-dimensional substances). The spectrum and phonon polarization in presence of SPI was found. Expressions for these polar vectors demonstrate nontrivial symmetry, which is important for PHE theory construction. Transverse heat conductivity coefficients and nondiagonal density matrix calculations from [3] for two-dimensional case are briefly reproduced. In conclusion, we estimate the amount of effect and discuss the results.

We consider dielectric consisting of light atoms and paramagnetic particles (atoms or molecules) with magnetic moment $\mathbf{M}$. In the two-dimensional case we assume that $\mathbf{M}$ is perpendicular to 2D-crystal plane. For simplicity we will accept that in a crystal cell there is one paramagnetic particle. The magnetic moment of rare-earth atoms is caused by electrons of f-subshell, the magnetic moment of molecules is proportional to their rotary moment. In both cases the spectrum of paramagnetic particles has a complex structure. But at low temperatures several bottom levels play the main role, and in simplest approximation we can replace the magnetic moment by pseudospin $\mathbf{s}_n$ (n is a cell number). We will assume that $T_c \ll T \ll \Theta$, where T_c is a rotary degrees of freedom freezing temperature, Θ – Debye temperature. In these conditions atomic oscillations in crystal are caused by long-wave acoustic phonons. Thus all atoms in elementary cell oscillate with the same amplitude $\mathbf{U}_n$ and velocity $\mathbf{V}_n$, and the general motion of atoms in a cell creates the total orbital moment $[\mathbf{U}_n \times \mathbf{P}_n]$, где $\mathbf{P}_n = m_0\mathbf{V}_n$, and m_0 is the total mass of atoms in a cell. Interaction between the moments of paramagnetic particles and the orbital moment of a cell we will describe by the following spin-phonon interaction Hamiltonian [7]:

$$H_1 = g\sum_n \left(\mathbf{s}_n, [\mathbf{U}_n \times \mathbf{P}_n]\right).$$

In this work we use a system of units where $k_B = 1$, $\hbar = 1$. On the acoustic phonon wavelength scale there is a magnetization self-averaging, and we can replace operator $\mathbf{s}_n$ with a value $\langle \mathbf{s}\rangle = \langle \mathbf{s}_n\rangle \sim \langle \mathbf{M}_n\rangle$ averaged over a crystal. We consider the interaction constant g as a phenomenological parameter. The value of g strongly depends on crystal field and it was estimated in numerous works [7].

Let us find the acoustic phonon renormalization caused by this interaction in a two-dimensional crystal. First of all, SPI changes a relation between velocity and an momentum of oscillating atoms (see [3])

$$\mathbf{V}_n = \frac{d\mathbf{U}_n}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{P}_n} = \mathbf{P}_n/m_0 + g[\langle \mathbf{s}\rangle \times \mathbf{U}_n] \qquad (1)$$

The oscillation equation in the momentum representation is

$$\omega_{ks}^2 u_{ks}^a = \tilde{D}_k^{ab} u_{ks}^b \qquad (2)$$

Here $\mathbf{u}_{ks}$ is the normalized polarization vector, $\mathbf{k}$ is the phonon wave vector, s is a number of acoustic mode, and

$$\tilde{D}_k^{ab} = D_k^{ab} - ie_{abc}G^c, \quad G^c = 2\omega g \left\langle s^c\right\rangle. \qquad (3)$$

We see, that SPI adds an imaginary antisymmetric tensor to the symmetric dynamic matrix D_k^{ab}. In the zero approximation on SPI, the solution of (2) determines the dispersion law for two acoustic branches and two corresponding orthonormal polarization vectors. The eigenvalue equation is

$$\left(\omega_{ks}^2 - D_k^{xx}\right)\left(\omega_{ks}^2 - D_k^{yy}\right) = \left(D_k^{xy}\right)^2.$$

To simplify, we assume crystal surface to be square-symmetric [8]

$$D_k^{ab} = A_1\delta^{ab}k^2 + A_2\delta^{ab}k_a^2 + A_3k_ak_b. \tag{4}$$

It's easy to verify, that in isotropic model ($A_2 = 0$) the longitudinal mode has the energy $\omega_{k\|} = k\sqrt{A_1 + A_3}$, and the transverse mode energy is $\left(\omega_0 = k\sqrt{A_1}\right)$. In the general case we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_s^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(2A_1 + A_2 + A_3)k^2 + s\frac{1}{2}R,\ s = \pm 1, && (5) \\ R^2 &= (A_2 + A_3)^2(k_x^2 - k_y^2)^2 + 4\left(A_3k_xk_y\right)^2 && (6) \end{aligned}$$

For brevity, we omit the $\mathbf{k}$ dependence indication in evident cases here and below. In the general case, all of A_i are positive and nonzero. So, in real 2D-crystal the acoustic phonon spectrum is nondegenerated for all $\mathbf{k}$ in zero approximation, and $\omega_{k+}^2 > \max(D_k^{xx}, D_k^{yy})$, $\omega_{k-}^2 < \min(D_k^{xx}, D_k^{yy})$.

From (5) and (6) we see that R^2 and $\omega_\pm^2$ are invariant with respect to inversion and following reflections: $(k_x, k_y) \to (k_x, -k_y)$, $(k_x, k_y) \to (-k_x, k_y)$. For heat flow calculation we also need anisotropic velocity of sound expressions:

$$\begin{gathered} c_{ks}^a = \frac{\partial\omega_s}{\partial k^a} = \frac{1}{2\omega_s}\{(2A_1 + A_2 + A_3) + \\ +s\frac{1}{R}[(A_2 + A_3)^2(k_a^2 - k_{a+1}^2) + 2A_3^2k_{a+1}^2]\}k^a. \end{gathered}$$

If all of A_i has the same order of magnitude, then both velocities of sound has similar to $\mathbf{k}$ direction, and $c \simeq \sqrt{A}$ on the order of magnitude.

Polarization vectors play a great role in the PHE problem. From dispersion equation (2) we have

$$e_{ks}^x = \left(\omega_{ks}^2 - D_k^{yy}\right)C_{ks} sign k^x,\ e_{ks}^y = |D_k^{xy}|\,C_{ks} sign k^y, \tag{7}$$

and normalization

$$C_{ks}^{-2} = \left(\omega_{ks}^2 - D_k^{yy}\right)^2 + D_k^{xy2} = sR\left(\omega_{ks}^2 - D_k^{yy}\right) > 0$$

Eigenvectors (7) and C_{ks} are determined to phase, which depends on $\mathbf{k}$ and s. Let us take $C_{ks} = |C_{ks}|$. Then normalized polarization vectors are real and can be written down as follows:

$$\begin{gathered} e_{ks}^x = s * sign k^x\sqrt{\frac{s\left(\omega_{ks}^2 - D_k^{yy}\right)}{R}}, \\ e_{ks}^y = sign k^y\sqrt{\frac{s\left(\omega_{ks}^2 - D_k^{xx}\right)}{R}}. \end{gathered} \tag{8}$$

They also possess properties:

$$\begin{gathered} (\mathbf{e}_+\mathbf{e}_-) = 0,\quad (\mathbf{e}_+ \times \mathbf{e}_-)^z = C_{k+}C_{k-}D_k^{xy}R,\ \left(\mathbf{e}_s\hat{k}\right) = \\ = s * \sqrt{\frac{|k^x|^2}{k^2}\frac{s\left(\omega_{ks}^2 - D_k^{yy}\right)}{R}} + \sqrt{\frac{|k^y|^2}{k^2}\frac{s\left(\omega_{ks}^2 - D_k^{xx}\right)}{R}}. \end{gathered} \tag{9}$$

From the last equation in (9) we can see that the upper (lower) branch is approximately longitudinal (transverse). Components (8) changes their signs after inversion and corresponding components changes their signs after reflection from general axes as $\mathbf{e}_{ks}$ are polar vectors. If we will turn $\mathbf{k}$ from $\varphi = 0$ to 2π smoothly, the vector $\mathbf{e}_{k-}$ changes its direction by a jump when k crosses one of the axes. Vector $\mathbf{e}_{k+}$ oscillates near wave vector $\mathbf{k}$ direction, vectors $\mathbf{e}_{k+}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{k-}$ are always perpendicular to each other.

Let us now discuss phonon renormalization in linear approximation on SPI. We present the polarization vector in linear approximation in the form of $u_s^a = (e_s^a + \delta e_s^a)$, and rewrite dispersion equation (2) using this form. Then from the real part of obtained equation we can see that phonon spectrum and group velocity $c_{ks} = \partial\omega_{ks}/\partial k$ are not renormalized ($\delta\omega_s = 0$). Imaginary part of dispersion equation determines the renormalization of polarization vector:

$$\left(\omega_s^2\delta^{ab} - D^{ab}\right)\delta e_s^b = -ie_{abc}G^ce_s^b.$$

The most general form of the solution would have a form $\delta e_s^b = iKe_{s'}^b$, then we obtain

$$K = \left(\omega_{k+}^2 - \omega_{k-}^2\right)^{-1}\left([\mathbf{e}_{k+} \times \mathbf{e}_{k-}]\,\mathbf{G}\right). \tag{10}$$

Thus, SPI leads to elliptic polarization of phonons, which is expressed in the imaginary addition to polarization vectors in zero approximation (8). Using relation (3) between $\mathbf{G}$ and g, for thermal phonons we can estimate the degree of elliptic polarization:

$$K \simeq g\left\langle s\right\rangle/T. \tag{11}$$

As noted above, phonon spectrum and group velocity do not depend on SPI in linear approximation. But the form of heat flow density j^γ in crystal can change in presence of SPI. However, it can be shown that, due to linear relation between velocity and momentum (1), the expression for heat flow in coordinate representation has exactly the same form as in [9]

$$j^c = \frac{1}{2V}m_0\sum_{i\neq j}R_{ij}^cD_{ij}^{ab}\left(U_i^aV_j^b\right), \tag{12}$$

but here $\mathbf{V}_n = \mathbf{P}_n/m_0 + g[\mathbf{s} \times \mathbf{U}_n]$ (see. (1)). Then we write (12) in secondary quantization representation, average it over the system state, omit the anomalous averages $\left\langle a_{ks}a_{-ks'}\right\rangle$, $\left\langle a_{-ks'}^+a_{ks}^+\right\rangle$, change symbols under the summation sign and obtain the following expression for the heat flow density:

$$\begin{gathered} \left\langle j^c\right\rangle = \tfrac{1}{4V}\,\mathrm{Re}\{\textstyle\sum_{kss'}(\sqrt{\tfrac{\omega_{ks}}{\omega_{ks'}}} + \sqrt{\tfrac{\omega_{ks'}}{\omega_{ks}}})\left(\nabla_k^cD_k^{ab}\right) \times \\ \times u_{ks}^{a*}u_{ks'}^b\rho_{ss'}(k)\}, \end{gathered} \tag{13}$$

where $\rho_{ss'}(k) = \left\langle a_{ks}^+a_{ks'}\right\rangle$ is phonon density matrix. In zero approximation (13) has the usual form of energy flow density for phonon gas with $n_{ks} = \left\langle a_{ks}^+a_{ks}\right\rangle$. Substituting polarization vector in linear approximation, we obtain (see [3])

$$\begin{gathered} \left\langle \delta j^c\right\rangle = \tfrac{2}{V}\textstyle\sum_k(\sqrt{\tfrac{\omega_{k-}}{\omega_{k+}}} + \sqrt{\tfrac{\omega_{k+}}{\omega_{k-}}})K(k)\omega_{k-}\omega_{k+} \times \\ \times(c_{k+}^c - c_{k-}^c)\,\mathrm{Im}\,\rho_{-+}(k). \end{gathered} \tag{14}$$

If magnetic field and moment $\mathbf{M}$ are directed along z axis, temperature gradient ∇T is directed along x axis, and transverse heat flow is directed along y axis, then, substituting ρ_{-+} in the form of linear response $\rho_{-+}(k) = -iA^x_{-+}(k)\,(\nabla T)_x$ into (14), we have

$$\begin{gathered}\varkappa^{yx} = \tfrac{2}{V}\textstyle\sum_k\left(\sqrt{\tfrac{\omega_{k-}}{\omega_{k+}}} + \sqrt{\tfrac{\omega_{k+}}{\omega_{k-}}}\right)K(k)\omega_{k-}\omega_{k+}\times \\ \times\left(c^y_{k+} - c^y_{k-}\right)\operatorname{Re}A^x_{-+}(k),\end{gathered} \tag{15}$$

where $K(k)$ is determined in (10). As $K(k) \sim \mathbf{G}$, then for calculation (15) in linear approximation, it would suffice to calculate $A^x_{12}(k)$ in zero approximation. According to [3]

$$A^x_{pq} = \frac{F_{ss'}(\mathbf{k})c^x_s(\mathbf{k}) + F_{s's}(\mathbf{k})c^x_{s'}(\mathbf{k})}{\omega_{ks} - \omega_{ks'}}, \tag{16}$$

$$F_{ss'}(\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{\omega_{ks}\Omega_{pq}}{2T^2\Omega_{pp}}N_{ks}\left(1 + N_{ks}\right). \tag{17}$$

Here Ω_{pq} are the effective relaxation frequencies, $p = \mathbf{k}s$, $q = \mathbf{k}s'$. In general case Ω_{pq}, Ω_{qp}, Ω_{pp} have the same order of magnitude (but $\left|\Omega_{pq}\right|, \left|\Omega_{qp}\right| < \Omega_{pp}$). In the two-dimensional case the longitudinal component of heat conductivity tensor is $\varkappa^{xx} \simeq T^2\Omega^{-1}$, where c, Ω are the average values of c_p, Ω_{pp}. The substitution of eq. (16) and (17) into (15) gives us the transverse component in the form of integral dependent on the relaxation frequencies ratio Ω_{pq}/Ω_{pp}.

The product $c^x c^y$ enter into the integral (15), instead of $(c^x)^2$ in longitudinal heat conductivity, and it seems to disappear when averaging over $\mathbf{k}$ directions. However, in (15) there is $K(k) \sim (\mathbf{e}_1 \times \mathbf{e}_2) \sim D^{xy} \sim k_x k_y$. Therefore, under summation sign in (15) there is an expression invariant by inversion in reciprocal space, and averaging over $\mathbf{k}$ gives a nonzero result. We note, that the presence of $((\mathbf{e}_1 \times \mathbf{e}_2)\,\mathbf{G})$ points to determining role of the phonon elliptic polarization, which arises due to SPI. The integrand in (15) becomes maximum when acoustic modes becomes at most close to each other. In 2D crystal (when all A_i in (4) are the same order of magnitude) there are no such preferential directions.

In contrast to 3D case [3] the PHE magnitude (15) is strongly definable

$$\begin{aligned}\varkappa^{yx} &= \frac{2}{V}\sum_k\left(\sqrt{\frac{\omega_{k-}}{\omega_{k+}}} + \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{k+}}{\omega_{k-}}}\right)K(k)\omega_{k-}\omega_{k+}\times \\ &\quad\times\left(C^y_{k+} - C^y_{k-}\right)\frac{F_{ss'}(\mathbf{k})c^x_s(\mathbf{k}) + F_{s's}(\mathbf{k})c^x_{s'}(\mathbf{k})}{\omega_{ks} - \omega_{ks'}},\end{aligned}$$

and can be calculated exactly. However, the problem definition has a model character and we will make the estimations only. We assume the collision frequencies Ω_{pq} and Ω_{pp} to be the same order of magnitude Ω. For simplicity we also accept a certain average value for velocity of sound $\bar{c}$ for both modes, and thermal phonons with frequencies about T play a main role in integral. In comparison to the longitudinal heat conductivity, the transverse heat conductivity has two additional multipliers: the degree of ellipticity (11) and $\Omega_{pq}/\left(\omega_{ks} - \omega_{ks'}\right)$. They characterize the magnitude of nondiagonal elements of density matrix. The Hall angle has the following order of magnitude в

$$\varkappa^{yx}/\varkappa^{xx} \simeq \left(\frac{g\left<s\right>}{T}\right)\left(\frac{\Omega}{T}\right).$$

The last multiplier can be estimated from experimental value of heat conductivity coefficient. The first multiplier depends on SPI magnitude and was discussed in works [3], [7], [10] for ionic dielectrics. We suppose this ratio to have a comparable order of value in dielectrics containing molecules with rotational degrees of freedom. It can be even greater due to a higher degree of molecules anisotropy. Anyway, the transition from 3D to 2D case can make easier the observation of PHE.

References

[1] C. Strohm, G.L.J.A. Rikken, and P. Wyder, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 155901 (2005)

[2] A.V. Inyushkin, A.N. Taldenkov, JETP Lett., 86, 6, 379 (2007).

[3] Yu. Kagan and L. A. Maksimov, Phys. Rev. Lett., 100, 14, 145902 (2008); Yu. Kagan and L. A. Maksimov, arXiv:0707.2565 (July 2007).

[4] L. Sheng, D. N. Sheng, and C. S. Ting, Phys.Rev.Lett. 96, 155901 (2006).

[5] L.J.F. Hermans, P.H. Fortuin, H.F.P. Knaap and J.J.M. Beenakker, Phys.Lett. A, 25, 81 (1967); L.L. Gorelik, V.G. Nikolaevskii, V.V. Sinitsyn, JETP Lett., 4, 11, 307 (1966); Yu. Kagan, L.A. Maksimov, JETP, 51, 1893 (1966).

[6] L. A. Maksimov and T.V. Khabarova, arXiv:0308.1234 (2008)

[7] H. Capellmann, S. Lipinski, Z. Phys. B – Cond. Mat., 83, 199, (1991). A. S. Ioselevich, H. Capellmann, Phys. Rev., B51, 11446(1995)

[8] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, Course of Theoretical Physics, vol. 7, Pergamon Press, New York (1986).

[9] R.J. Hardy, Phys. Rev, 132, 168 (1963).

[10] Spin-Lattice Relaxation in Ionic Solids, edited by A.A. Manenkov and R. Orbach (Harper & Row, New York, 1966), A.A. Abragam, B. Bleaney, Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Ions. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1970. J. A. Koningstein, C. J. Kane-Maguire, Can. J. Chem., 52(20), 3445 (1974).